

Appendix 11

TRO-17-2019 - Freehold Terrace

Who	Support / Object	Reasons
Cycling Group	Object	<p>Because it unnecessarily stops the passage of cycles in contradiction of the avowed sustainable transport policies of Brighton and Hove City Council. The statement of reasons says that "the developer must provide a one-way traffic regulation order to Freehold Terrace. As Freehold Terrace is a very narrow carriageway this will improve the traffic flow, vehicle manoeuvres and reflect the removal of the former site access to the south west of Freehold Terrace" but this prioritises motor vehicle movements over active travel by cycle which is against BHCC policy. Also, where space is limited, active travel should be prioritised over travel by motor vehicle because active travel is a more efficient way to move people and healthier. I am objecting on behalf of Bricycles (Brighton and Hove Cycling Campaign and as a Cycling UK representative for Brighton and Hove, also as a Brighton resident. BHCC must stop creating one way streets. These cause massive inconvenience for anyone using a bike, particularly residents in the street, and set up the conditions for conflict between the different road users where people inevitably ignore the unreasonable order and continue to cycle.</p>
Resident	Object	<p>I support the double yellow lines but making the street one-way is unnecessary for people cycling. With the new student block and substantial cycle parking provision here, to make the students cycle all round the block to exit north is unreasonable and will lead to this restriction being ignored or people cycling on pavements. It has not been thought through properly and is being thought of solely in terms of car movements not sustainable transport.</p>
Sussex Police	Support	<p>Thank you for the below proposed order for Freehold Terrace. I have now had an opportunity to look at the plan, statement of reason and also looked at Google Maps. The change to a one way street will be a fundamental change to how this road is used, particularly to the existing residents. I take it that they have been consulted and support this change. Their support would be essential to alleviate any potential risk taking by driving the wrong way along a one way street, which could result in injury collisions and potentially public order type offences when drivers are seen to do this. Signage and road marking should also be in place to ensure that road users know what is expected of them and so the road becomes self-enforcing. On this basis I have no objections to what is being proposed.</p>

